

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/852,033	05/10/2001	Guoping Zhang	12126	7868
22204 7.	590 06/23/2004		EXAM	INER
NIXON PEABODY, LLP			FETZNER, TIFFANY A	
401 9TH STREET, NW SUITE 900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASINGTON, DC 20004-2128			2859	

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/852,033	ZHANG, GUOPING		
Examin r	Art Unit		
Tiffany A Fetzner	2859		

THE REPLY FILED 10 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

-xammaton (1002) in compilation with or of 11 the th	
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
 a)	f the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejutanced patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the part of 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by main issues for appeal; and/or	terially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a scanceling the non-allowable claim(s).	separate, timely filed amendment
5. ∑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been con application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	to issues which were newly
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or the explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below.	•
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-33</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. $igotimes$ The drawing correction filed on <u>23 September 2003</u> is a) $igotimes$ approved or b) $igodiu$ di	sapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·
10. Other: See attached Interview Summary	CHRISTOPHER W. FULTON PRIMARY EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

⁻⁻The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Continuation of 2. NOTE: applicant's claims add limitations that alter the scope of the originally presented indep indent claims.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: new issues are raised which require a new search, additionally there are also intended use problems with the apparatus claims. The proposed amendments are free of new matter, but they do not resolve all the issues of concern, and do not place the application in condition for allowance at this time.